Royal Greenwich Community Innovation Grants Scoring Criteria

Filters

If the bid is a partnership project, are partners clearly identified?

If a local organisation is applying on their own or as a partner, have they agreed to sign up to the Royal Greenwich Equality and Equity Charter? (not applicable for individuals or non-constituted groups applying).

Has the applicant agreed to take part in an evaluation?

Quality

The target group(s) for the project are clearly described and relate to the funding criteria. That is, people/groups that are at risk of unfair health differences and have been disproportionately affected by the impacts of the pandemic.

A relevant need/issue for the target group(s) is clearly described and related to the funding criteria.

Application explains how the project will effectively address this community need/issue.

Project aims are clear, relevant and can be achieved within the project timeframe.

Proposed project activities are clearly outlined, including what is done by each partner (if applicable).

Finance

Application outlines clear budget, with itemised costing of project activities and plan for all funds to be used.

Scoring guidance

- 1 not addressed
- 2 poor
- 3 adequate
- 4 good
- 5 excellent

The submitted application will be assessed and scored by a team of officers from RBG Public Health, RBG Communities and Environment and CCG Mental Health Commissioning. Councillor Adel Khaireh, Cabinet Member for Culture and Communities, will have final oversight of this process.

Any project graded with a **no** for filter questions cannot be funded.

Any project graded below **3** for any quality or finance question cannot be funded.